

A Note on Semi-Closed Graph

K. K. Dube* · Lee, Je-Yoon · Onkar Singh Panwar*

Dept. of Mathematics

(Received April 30, 1983)

〈Abstract〉

In this note, various conditions are discussed under which a mapping has a semi-closed graph. If a mapping has a closed graph, then, clearly, its graph is semi-closed but not conversely (Example 1). It is shown that, on one hand, various types of some known mappings may fail to have semi-closed graphs and, on the other hand, a mapping having semi-closed graph may fail to be some 'nice' types of mappings.

반폐 그래프에 관하여

K. K. Dube* · 이제윤 · Onkar Singh Panwar*

수 학 과

(1983. 4. 30 접수)

〈요 약〉

이 논문에서 사상이 반폐그래프를 갖기 위한 여러가지 조건들을 알아본다. 사상이 폐그래프를 가지면 그 그래프는 반폐 그래프이나, 그 역은 성립하지 않음을 보인다. 또 이미 알려진 몇 개의 사상들이 반폐 그래프를 갖지 못하는 것을 보이고, 반면, 반폐 그래프를 가져도 항상 좋은 형의 사상이 되지 않음을 보였다.

I. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, a space means a topological space. In a space X , a set A is *semi-open* [14] iff there exists an open set O in X such that $O \subset A \subset cl O$, where $cl O$ denotes the *closure* of O in X . Every open set is semi-open [14]. $SO(X)$ will denote the class of all semi-open sets in the space X [14]. $[A \in SO(X)$ and $B \in SO(Y)]$ implies $A \times B \in SO(X \times Y)$ [14].

A subset M of a space X is a *semi-neighbourhood* [1] of a point x of X iff there exists a semi-open set A in X such that $x \in A \subset M$. A set A in X is semi-open iff it is a semi-neigh-

bourhood of each of its points [1]. A set A in X is *semi-closed* [2] iff $(X-A)$ is semi-open. The *semi-closure* [2] of a subset A of X , denoted by $scl A$, is the intersection of all the semi-closed sets containing A . Note that $A \subset scl A \subset cl A$ [2], $scl(scl A) = scl A$ [2]; $A \subset B$ implies $scl A \subset scl B$; and A is semi-closed iff $A = scl A$ [2]. By a *semi-clopen set* A , we shall mean a set A which is both semi-open and semi-closed.

II. Mappings with Semi-Closed Graphs

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then $G(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}$ is called the *graph of f* . If the set $G(f)$ is semi-closed in the product space $X \times Y$, then f

* Professors of Dept. of Math., University of Saugar, India.

is said to have a semi-closed graph. Clearly, for $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the graph $G(f)$ is semi-closed if, for each $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, there exist semi-open sets U and V containing x and y , respectively, such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1: *The mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has a semi-closed graph if, for each $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ such that $y \neq f(x)$, there exist semi-open sets U and V containing x and y , respectively, such that $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$.*

Proof: Let $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ such that $y \neq f(x)$. Then $(x, y) \notin G(f)$. Since semi-open sets U and V are such that $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$, it follows that $U \times V$ is a semi-open set in $X \times Y$ [14, Theorem 11] such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$. Hence, $G(f)$ is semi-closed.

Remark 1: Each closed graph is, obviously, semi-closed. But the converse may fail to be true, this may be seen by the following example.

Example 1: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ be the topology on X . Let $i: X \rightarrow X$ be the identity mapping. Then, obviously, $G(i)$ is semi-closed but not closed.

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *set-s-connected* [4] iff, for any semi-clopen subset F of $f(X)$, $f^{-1}(F)$ is semi-clopen in X .

Remark 2: Various types of known mappings, viz., a continuous mapping a θ -continuous mapping [5], a mapping almost continuous in the sense of Frolík [6], a weakly continuous mapping [13], a semi-continuous mapping [14], a mapping almost continuous in the sense of Husain [8], a mapping almost continuous in the sense of M.K. Singal and A.R. Singal [20], a c -continuous mapping [7], a c^* -continuous mapping [18], a H -continuous mapping [15], a s -continuous mapping [10], a set-connected mapping [11], a set-s-connected mapping [4], an irresolute mapping [3], a connected mapping [19], a semi-connected mapping [12], and a weak semi-connected mapping [9] may fail to have a semi-closed graph. This may be seen by the following example:

Example 2: Let X be a space, containing more than one point, with the indiscrete topology, and let $i: X \rightarrow X$ be the identity mapping. Here, the graph $G(i)$ is not semi-closed.

Remark 3: A mapping having a semi-closed graph may fail to be continuous, semi-continuous [14], irresolute [3], set-connected [11], set-s-connected [4], connected [19], semi-connected [12], weak semi-connected [9], s -continuous [10], H -continuous [15], c -continuous [7], c^* -continuous [18], almost continuous in the sense of Frolík [6], almost continuous in the sense of Husain [8], almost continuous in the sense of M.K. Singal and A.R. Singal [20], weakly continuous [13], θ -continuous [5]. It may be seen by the following example.

Example 3: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and consider on X the topologies $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{T}' = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then, obviously, the identity mapping $i: (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T}')$ has a semi-closed graph, but i is none of the mappings mentioned in Remark 3.

Definition 1: A space X is said to be *extremely s-disconnected* iff the semi-closure of every semi-open set is semi-open.

In order to say the following, we note that a space X is *semi- T_2* [17] iff to each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exist disjoint semi-open sets A and B in X such that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Equivalently, we have X is *semi- T_2* iff to each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists a semi-open set N in X containing x such that $y \notin scl N$.

Theorem 2: *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a set-s-connected surjection, and Y be an extremely s-disconnected and semi- T_2 space. Then $G(f)$ is semi-closed in $X \times Y$.*

Proof: Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$. Then $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $y \neq f(x)$. Since Y is *semi- T_2* , there exists a semi-open set $N \subset Y$ containing y such that $f(x) \notin scl N = V$. Then, Y being extremely s -

disconnected, V is a semi-clopen subset of Y and so, f being set- s -connected surjection, $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi-clopen in X and $x \notin f^{-1}(V)$. Taking $U = X - f^{-1}(V)$, U is a semi-open set containing x , and then $f(U) \cap V = \phi$. Consequently, $G(f)$ is semi-closed.

Theorem 3: *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a semi-continuous mapping, where Y is T_2 . Then $G(f)$ is semi-closed.*

Proof: Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$. Then $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $y \neq f(x)$. Hence, Y being T_2 , there exist disjoint open sets U and V containing $f(x)$ and y , respectively. Since f is semi-continuous, $f^{-1}(U)$ is a semi-open set containing x . Then, clearly, $f^{-1}(U) \times V$ is a semi-open subset containing (x, y) in $X \times Y$ [14, Theorem 11]. Now, let $x_1 \in f^{-1}(U)$ such that $f(x_1) \in V$. Then, obviously, we have $U \cap V \neq \phi$, a contradiction. Hence, there is no $x_1 \in f^{-1}(U)$ such that $f(x_1) \in V$. Consequently, $(f^{-1}(U) \times V) \cap G(f) = \phi$ which implies $G(f)$ is semi-closed.

Theorem 4: *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be irresolute, where Y is semi- T_2 . Then $G(f)$ is semi-closed.*

The proof of the above Theorem 4, is essentially, similar to that of Theorem 3.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 1. [17, Theorem 5.3]: *If X is semi- T_2 , then the identity mapping $i : X \rightarrow X$ has a semi-closed graph.*

Definition 2 [16]: A space X is *locally s -connected* iff for every point $x \in X$ and every open set O containing x , there exists an open s -connected set G such that $x \in G \subset O$.

Definition 3 [16]: Two sets A and B of a space X are said to be *semi-separated* iff $A \cap scl B = \phi = scl A \cap B$.

Lemma 1 [16]: *If A and B are open, s -connected and non-semi-separated sets in the space X , then $A \cup B$ is s -connected.*

Lemma 2 [16]: *A space X is not s -connected iff it is the union of two non-empty disjoint semi-open sets.*

Definition 4: A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *almost irresolute* on X iff for each $x \in X$ and for each semi-neighbourhood V of $f(x)$, $scl f^{-1}(V)$ is a semi-neighbourhood of x .

An almost irresolute mapping need not have a semi-closed graph. For, the mapping i , defined in Example 2, is almost irresolute, but $G(i)$ is not semi-closed. However, we have

Theorem 5: *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an almost irresolute mapping and Y a locally s -connected, T_2 space. If f and f^{-1} map open s -connected sets onto open s -connected sets, then $G(f)$ is semi-closed.*

Proof: Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$. Then $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $y \neq f(x)$. Since Y is a T_2 locally s -connected space, there exist open s -connected subsets U and V containing y and $f(x)$, respectively, such that $U \cap V = \phi$. Hence $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \phi$. Then, we have $f^{-1}(U) \cap scl f^{-1}(V) = \phi$. For, if possible, let $f^{-1}(U) \cap scl f^{-1}(V) \neq \phi$. Then, by Definition 3, by hypothesis and by Lemma 1, $f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$ is open s -connected, Again, by hypothesis, $f[f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)] = U \cup V$ is open s -connected, which is impossible in view of Lemma 2, Now, since f is almost irresolute, $scl f^{-1}(V)$ is a semi-neighbourhood of x and hence there exists a semi-open set $T \subset scl f^{-1}(V)$ containing x . Therefore, $f(T) \cap U = \phi$. Consequently, $G(f)$ is semi-closed.

Remark 4: A mapping having a semi-closed graph may fail to be almost irresolute. For, the mapping i , defined in Example 3, has a semi-closed graph but is not almost irresolute.

Definition 5 [3]: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *semi-homeomorphism* iff f is bijective, irresolute, and pre-semi-open. X and Y are said to be *semi-homeomorphic*.

Definition 6 [3]: A property which is preserved under semi-homeomorphisms is said to be a *semi-topological property*.

Hence, the property of a subset of a space being semi-closed is a semi-topological property.

Theorem 6: *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is bijective and*

has a semi-closed graph, then f^{-1} has a semi-closed graph.

Proof: Let $G(f)$ and $G(f^{-1})$ denote the graphs of f and f^{-1} , respectively. Then, clearly, $G(f) = \{(x, y) : y = f(x), x \in X\}$, where as $G(f^{-1}) = \{(y, x) : x = f^{-1}(y), y \in Y\}$. Since $f^{-1}(y) = x$ iff $y = f(x)$, it follows that $G(f)$ and $G(f^{-1})$ are semi-homeomorphic under the semi-homeomorphism $(x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$ of $X \times Y$ onto $Y \times X$. Since $G(f)$ is semi-closed, so is $G(f^{-1})$.

Definition 7: A net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$, in a space X , s -converges to a point $x_0 \in X$ iff it is eventually in every semi-neighbourhood of x_0 .

Theorem 7: If Y is a semi-open set in a space X , then no net in $X - Y$ can s -converge to a point of Y .

Proof: Let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ be a net in X such that $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ s -converges to a point $x_0 \in Y$. Since Y is semi-open, and so, a semi-neighbourhood of x_0 , $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ is eventually in Y . This means that $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ is always in Y , that is, $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ is never in $X - Y$.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.

Corollary 2: If A is a semi-closed set in X , then no net in A can s -converge to a point of $X - A$.

Definition 8: If a net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$, in space X , s -converges to a point $x_0 \in X$, then we say that x_0 is a semi-limit point of $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$. Symbolically, we write $x_0 \in \text{semi-limit}_\alpha(x_\alpha)$.

Theorem 8: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If $G(f)$ is semi-closed and if, for each net $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ in X s -converging to $x \in X$, the net $\{f(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ s -converges to $y \in Y$, then $y = f(x)$.

Proof: Let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ be a net in X s -converging to $x \in X$ such that $\{f(x_\alpha) : \alpha \in D\}$ s -converges to $y \in Y$. Then, clearly, $(x_\alpha, f(x_\alpha)) \in G(f)$ for all $\alpha \in D$. Since $G(f)$ is semi-closed, by Corollary 2 and Definition 8, $\text{semi-limit}_\alpha(x_\alpha, f(x_\alpha)) \in G(f)$. But $\text{semi-limit}_\alpha(x_\alpha,$

$f(x_\alpha)) = (x, y)$. Hence $(x, y) \in G(f)$ which implies $y = f(x)$.

References

- [1] E. Bohn and Jong Lee: Semi-topological groups, Amer. Math. Monthly 72(1965), 996—998.
- [2] S. Gene Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand: Semi-closure, Texas J. Sci. 22(2+3)(1971), 99—112.
- [3] S. Gene Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand: Semi-topological properties Fund. Math. 74(1972), 233—254.
- [4] K. K. Dube and O. S. Panwar: A note on set- s -connected mappings, Under communication.
- [5] S. V. Fomin Extensions of topological spaces, Annls of Math 44(1943), 471—480.
- [6] Z. Frolik: Remarks concerning the invariance of Baire spaces under mappings, Czechoslovak Math. J. 11(86)(1961), 381—385.
- [7] K. R. Gentry and H. B. Hoyle, III: c -continuous functions, The yokohama Math. J. 18(1970), 71—76.
- [8] T. Husain: Almost continuous mappings, Prace Mat. Ser. 1, 10(1966) 1—7
- [9] J. K. Kohli: On monotone extensions of maps (preprints).
- [10] J. K. Kohli, A class of mappings containing all continuous and all semi-connected mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72(1978), No. 1, 175—181.
- [11] Jin Ho Kwak: Set-connected mappings, Kyungpook Math. J. 11(1971), 169—172.
- [12] Yu-Lee Lee: Some characterizations of semi-locally connected spaces Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16(1965), 1318—1320.
- [13] N. Levine: A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 68(1961), 44—46.
- [14] N. Levine: Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces Amer. Math. Monthly 70(1963), 36—41.

- [15] Paul E. Long and T.R. Hamlett: H-continuous functions, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* (4)11 (1975), No. 3, 552–558.
- [16] S.N. Maheshwari and U. Tapı: Connectedness of a stronger type in topological spaces, *Nanta Mathematica* 12(1979), No. 1, 102–109.
- [17] S.N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad: Some new separation axioms. *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, T. 89, III(1975), 395–402.
- [18] Young Soo Park: c^* -continuous functions, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 8(1971), 69–72.
- [19] W.J. Pervin and N. Levine: Connected mappings of Hausdorff spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9(1958), 488–495.
- [20] M.K. Singal and A.R. Singal: Almost continuous mappings, *The Yokohama Math. J.* 16 (1968), 63–73.