

ON CERTAIN CONDITIONS TO BE HARMONIC MAPS BETWEEN QUATERNIONIC KAEHLER MANIFOLDS

TAE HO KANG

Department of Mathematics, University
of Ulsan, Ulsan 680-749, Korea

ABSTRACT. Let $(M, g), (N, h)$ be quaternionic Kaehler manifolds of dimensions $4m, 4n$ with almost Hermitian structures $\{I, J, K\}, \{F, G, H\}$, and local 1-forms $\{\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}\}, \{p, q, r\}$, respectively. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_* I &= F\phi_*, \phi_* J = G\phi_*, \\ \bar{p} &= \phi^* p, \bar{q} = \phi^* q, \bar{r} = \phi^* r.\end{aligned}$$

If there exists an m -dimensional submanifold S of M such that the restriction of ϕ to S is harmonic, then the map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is harmonic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n respectively. For a smooth map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ and the *energy density* $e(\phi)$ and the *energy* $E(\phi)$ of ϕ are respectively defined by

$$e(\phi) := \frac{1}{2}|d\phi|,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58G25.

Key words and phrases. energy, energy density, tension field, harmonic map, quaternionic Kaehler manifold.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

$$E(\phi) := \int_M e(\phi) dv_g,$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the differential $d\phi = \phi_*$ of ϕ which is the differential 1-form with values in the induced vector bundle $f^{-1}TN$ (TN = the tangent bundle of N) over M , and dv_g the volume element of M . A smooth map ϕ is said to be *harmonic* if it is a critical point of the energy functional, that is,

$$\left. \frac{dE(\phi_t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = 0$$

for any one-parameter family of maps $\phi_t : M \rightarrow N$ with $\phi_0 = \phi$. We denote by ∇ and ${}^N\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connections of M and N respectively. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the induced connection on the induced vector bundle $\phi^{-1}TN$ from ${}^N\nabla$ and ϕ . For a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on M , we define the *tension field* $\tau(\phi)$ of ϕ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\phi) &:= \sum_{i=1}^m [\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}(d\phi(e_i)) - d\phi(\nabla_{e_i}e_i)] \\ (1.1) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i}d\phi)(e_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the induced connection on the vector bundle $T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$.

A smooth map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is harmonic if and only if the tension field $\tau(\phi) = 0$. If a smooth map $\phi : (M, J) \rightarrow (N, J')$ between Kaehler manifolds M, N with almost complex structures J, J' , respectively, is holomorphic(i.e., $\phi_*J = J'\phi_*$), then ϕ is a harmonic map. In this situation, our purpose is to find certain conditions for a smooth map between quaternionic Kaehler manifolds to be harmonic. In this article we shall prove the following theorem.

Main Theorem. *Let $(M, g), (N, h)$ be quaternionic Kaehler manifolds of dimensions $4m, 4n$ with almost Hermitian structures $\{I, J, K\}, \{F, G, H\}$, and local 1-forms $\{\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}\}, \{p, q, r\}$, respectively. Suppose that*

$$(1.2) \quad \phi_* I = F\phi_*, \phi_* J = G\phi_*,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{p} = \phi^* p, \bar{q} = \phi^* q, \bar{r} = \phi^* r.$$

If there exists an m -dimensional submanifold S of M such that the restriction of ϕ to S is harmonic, then the map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is harmonic.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND PROOF

Let (M, g) be a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic Kaehler manifold [1,2] with a canonical local basis $\{I, J, K\}$ of a 3-dimensional vector bundle V consisting of tensors of type $(1, 1)$ over M . Then there exists a 3-dimensional vector bundle V of tensors of type $(1, 1)$ such that in any coordinate neighborhood U of M , there exists a local basis of almost Hermitian structures I, J, K of V satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I^2 = J^2 = K^2 &= -I(\text{the identity transformation}), \\ IJ = -JI = K, JK &= -KJ = I, KI = -IK = J. \end{aligned}$$

and there exist local 1-forms $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}$ on U satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X I &= \bar{r}(X)J - \bar{q}(X)K, \\ \nabla_X J &= -\bar{r}(X)I + \bar{p}(X)K, \\ \nabla_X K &= \bar{q}(X)I - \bar{p}(X)J \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field X on M .

Let (N, h) be another $4n$ -dimensional quaternionic Kaehler manifold with a canonical local basis $\{F, G, H\}$ of a 3-dimensional vector bundle

consisting of tensors of type $(1, 1)$ over N and local 1-forms p, q, r on a coordinate neighborhood of N satisfying the equations

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} F^2 &= G^2 = H^2 = -I \text{ (the identity transformation),} \\ FG' &= -GF = H, GH = -HG = F, HF = -FH = G, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^N\nabla_Y F &= r(Y)G - q(Y)H, \\ {}^N\nabla_Y G &= -r(Y)F + p(Y)H, \\ {}^N\nabla_Y H &= q(Y)F - p(Y)G \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field Y on N .

Remark. The conditions $\phi_* I = F\phi_*$ and $\phi_* J = G\phi_*$ implies $\phi_* K = H\phi_*$. In fact, $\phi_* K = \phi_* IJ = F\phi_* J = FG\phi_* = H\phi_*$, where we have used (2.1) and (2.3).

To begin with, we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma [3]. *For a smooth map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ between Riemannian manifolds M and N the equation*

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi_* Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \phi_* X - \phi_*([X, Y]) = 0$$

holds for any vector fields X, Y on M

Proof of Main Theorem.

Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i, Ie_i, Je_i, Ke_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ of M such that $\{e_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field of S . Then the tension field $\tau(\phi)$ of ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad \tau(\phi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \phi_* e_i - \phi_* \nabla_{e_i} e_i) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Ie_i} \phi_* Ie_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Je_i} \phi_* Je_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Je_i} Je_i) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Ke_i} \phi_* Ke_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ke_i} Ke_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2), (1.3), (2.1), (2.2), Remark and Lemma, we obtain from the second line of (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad &\sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Ie_i} \phi_* Ie_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Ie_i} F\phi_* e_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{r(\phi_* Ie_i)G(\phi_* e_i) - q(\phi_* Ie_i)H(\phi_* e_i) + F\tilde{\nabla}_{Ie_i} \phi_* e_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{r(F\phi_* e_i)G(\phi_* e_i) - q(F\phi_* e_i)H(\phi_* e_i) + F\tilde{\nabla}_{Ie_i} \phi_* e_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m [r(F\phi_* e_i)G(\phi_* e_i) - q(F\phi_* e_i)H(\phi_* e_i) \\
 &\quad + F\{\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \phi_* Ie_i + \phi_* ([Ie_i, e_i])\} - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m [r(F\phi_* e_i)G(\phi_* e_i) - q(F\phi_* e_i)H(\phi_* e_i) \\
 &\quad + F\{\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} F\phi_* e_i + \phi_* (\nabla_{Ie_i} e_i - \nabla_{e_i} Ie_i)\} - \phi_* \nabla_{Ie_i} Ie_i]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^m [r(F\phi_*e_i)G(\phi_*e_i) - q(F\phi_*e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad + F\{(\nabla_{e_i}F)\phi_*e_i + F\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\phi_*e_i + \phi_*\nabla_{Ie_i}e_i\} \\
&\quad - F\phi_*\{(\nabla_{e_i}I)e_i + I\nabla_{e_i}e_i\} - \phi_*\nabla_{Ie_i}Ie_i] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \{r(F\phi_*e_i)G(\phi_*e_i) - q(F\phi_*e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad + r(\phi_*e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) + q(\phi_*e_i)G(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\phi_*e_i + F\phi_*(\nabla_{Ie_i}e_i) \\
&\quad - \bar{r}(e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) - \bar{q}(e_i)G(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad + \phi_*(\nabla_{e_i}e_i) - \bar{r}(Ie_i)G(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad + \bar{q}(Ie_i)H(\phi_*e_i) - F\phi_*(\nabla_{Ie_i}e_i)\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \{-\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\phi_*e_i + \phi_*(\nabla_{e_i}e_i)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the third and forth lines of (2.5) yield (2.7) and (2.8), respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad &\sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Je_i}\phi_*Je_i - \phi_*\nabla_{Je_i}Je_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \{-r(G\phi_*e_i)F(\phi_*e_i) + p(G\phi_*e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad + r(\phi_*e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) + p(\phi_*e_i)F(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\phi_*e_i + G\phi_*(\nabla_{Je_i}e_i) \\
&\quad - \bar{r}(e_i)H(\phi_*e_i) - \bar{p}(e_i)F(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad + \phi_*(\nabla_{e_i}e_i) + \bar{r}(Je_i)F(\phi_*e_i) \\
&\quad - \bar{p}(Je_i)H(\phi_*e_i) - G\phi_*(\nabla_{Je_i}e_i)\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \{-\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\phi_*e_i + \phi_*(\nabla_{e_i}e_i)\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{Ke_i} \phi_* Ke_i - \phi_* \nabla_{Ke_i} Ke_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{ q(H\phi_* e_i) F(\phi_* e_i) - p(H\phi_* e_i) G(\phi_* e_i) \\
 &\quad + q(\phi_* e_i) G(\phi_* e_i) + p(\phi_* e_i) F(\phi_* e_i) \\
 &\quad - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \phi_* e_i + H\phi_* (\nabla_{Ke_i} e_i) \\
 &\quad - \bar{q}(e_i) G(\phi_* e_i) - \bar{p}(e_i) F(\phi_* e_i) \\
 &\quad + \phi_* (\nabla_{e_i} e_i) - \bar{q}(Ke_i) F(\phi_* e_i) \\
 &\quad + \bar{p}(Ke_i) G(\phi_* e_i) - H\phi_* (\nabla_{Ke_i} e_i) \} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{ -\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \phi_* e_i + \phi_* (\nabla_{e_i} e_i) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau(\phi) &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \phi_* e_i - \phi_* \nabla_{e_i} e_i) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. S.Ishihara, *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, J.Differential Geom. **9** (1974), 483–500.
2. J.S.Pak and T.H.Kang, *Planar geodesic submanifolds in a quaternionic projective space*, Geom. Dedicata **26** (1988), 139–155.
3. H.Urakawa, *Calculus of Variations and Harmonic maps(in Japanese)*, Shokabo, Tokyo, 1990.

Tae Ho Kang

Department of Mathematics University of Ulsan
Ulsan 680-749, Korea