울산대학교 자연과학연구논문집 제11권 2호 pp. 11~17, 울산대학교. Journal of Natural Science Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 11~17, 2002 University of Ulsan.

## Properties of a certain reduced senigroup $C^*$ -algebraa

Sun Young Jang

September 10, 2001

Department of Mathematics and Physics

 $\langle Abstract \rangle$ 

We show that the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the left regular representation of  $\mathcal{S}=\{0,2,3,\dots\}$  acts irreducibly on  $l^2(M)$ , prime and its commutator ideal is the compact operator subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$ .

반군 대수의 성질

장 선 영

수학및 물리기술학부

(요약)

반군  $\{0,2,3,\dots\}$ 로 생성되는 반군  $C^*$ -대수가 힐버트 공간  $l^2(\mathcal{S})$ 위에서 비 축퇴적으로 작용하며 프라임을 보였다.

## 1. Introduction

Let M denote a semigroup with unit e and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. A map  $W: M \to \mathcal{B}, x \mapsto W_x$  is called an *isometric homomorphism* if  $W_e = 1$ ,  $W_x$  is an isometry and  $W_{xy} = W_x W_y$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the \*-algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  of all bounded linear operators of a non-zero Hilbert space H, we call (H, W) an *isometric representation* of M.

If M is left-cancellative, we can have a specific isometric representation of M as follows: let  $l^2(M, H)$  denote the Hilbert space of all norm square-summable maps f from M to H. For each  $x \in M$  we define a isometry  $\mathcal{L}_x$  on  $l^2(M, H)$  by the equation

$$(\mathcal{L}_x f)(z) = \begin{cases} f(y), & \text{if } z = xy \text{ for some } y \in M, \\ 0, & \text{if } z \notin xM, \end{cases}$$

for each  $f \in l^2(M, H)$ . The map  $\mathcal{L} : M \to \mathcal{B}(l^2(M, H)), x \mapsto \mathcal{L}_x$  is clearly an isometric representation and we call it the left regular isometric representation of M. If we define an element  $\widetilde{\xi}^{(x)} \in l^2(M, H)$  by setting

$$\widetilde{\xi}^{(x)}(y) = \begin{cases} \xi, & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $\xi \in H$  and  $x, y \in M$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_y(\widetilde{\xi}^{(x)}) = \widetilde{\xi}^{(yx)}$  for all  $x, y \in M$ .

In order to make things explicit let us consider the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$  of all natural numbers, then  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is the unilateral shift of  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ . As we can see in the above statement, the left regular isometry is the linear operator to translate the orthonormal basis of  $l^2(M)$ , which has made it important for decades.

Typeset by AMS-TEX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L05, 47C15, 47B35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Key words and phrases: isometric homomorphism, left regular isometric representation, reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra, semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra, Toeplitz algebra.

The  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries have been studied by many authors, ever since L. A. Coburn proved his well-known theorem, which asserts that the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a non-unitary isometry on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space does not depend on the particular choice of the isometry [1]. In particular, many authors have interest in the generalization of Coburn's theorem called the uniqueness property of the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries. If the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries have the uniqueness property, the structures of those  $C^*$ -algebras are to some extent independent of the choice of isometries on a Hilbert space. All the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by the isometric representations of the semigroup N of all natural numbers have the uniqueness property and so are isomorphic to the Toeplitz algebra by Coburn's result. In addtion, it was known that the uniqueness property holds for the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by one-parameter semigroups of isometries [5] and the Cuntz algebras [2], but there are few known  $C^*$ -algebras with the uniqueness property except these  $C^*$ algebras. And so for the lack of examples of the  $C^*$ -algebras with the uniqueness property, the uniqueness property was modified in several ways [13] and we also have interest in the uniqueness property which is modified in this paper.

One of the ways to construct the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries is to consider the isometric representations of the semigroups and  $C^*$ -algebras generated by them. Among these the  $C^*$ -algebras generated by the left regular isometric representations of the left-cancellative semigroups have been studied much for decades [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13,14, 16]. We are going to call it the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra from the point of the crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras by semigroups of automorphisms and denote it  $C^*_{red}(M)$  in this paper [8]. As a typical model of the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra we have the Toeplitz algebra  $C^*_{red}(\mathbb{N})$  when the semigroup M is the semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$  of all natural numbers. Besides the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra we can consider the semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra introduced by G. J. Murphy [12] which is obtained enveloping all isometric representations of M. Murphy denoted it by  $C^*(M)$  and we also intend to use it. Seeing from the definition of the semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra, the semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra has the universal

property as follows: if we put the canonical isometric homomorphism V of M to the semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(M)$ , then for any isometric homomorphism W of M to a unital  $C^*$ -algebra B there exists a unique homomorphism from  $C^*(M)$  to the unital  $C^*$ -algebra B sending  $V_x$  to  $W_x$  for each  $x \in M$ .

Actually, if the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*_{red}(M)$  and the semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(M)$  are isomorphic, the left regular isometric representation of M has the universal property of the isometric representations of M. Many authors have interests in when these two  $C^*$ -algebras  $C^*_{red}(M)$  and  $C^*(M)$  are isomorphic or when  $C^*_{red}(M)$  has the universal property of some kinds of isometric representations of M, which are examples of the modified uniqueness property

In this paper we show that the problem when  $C^*_{red}(M)$  and  $C^*(M)$  are isomorphic is much dependent on the order structure of M by analyzing the structure of  $C^*_{red}(S)$  and  $C^*(S)$  where  $S = \{0, 2, 3, ...\}$ .

The semigroup  $S = \{0, 2, 3, ...\}$  is the generating subsemigroup of the integer group  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the semigroup  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  is same. But the order structure of  $(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  with the positive cone S is different from that of  $(\mathbb{Z}, N)$ . Though it is known that  $C^*_{red}(\mathbb{N})$  is isomorphic to  $C^*(\mathbb{N})$  by Coburn's result, we show that  $C^*_{red}(S)$  is not isomorphic to  $C^*(S)$  by using the order structure of S in Proposotion 2.7. Furthermore we can say that if the order of the semigroup M is not unperforated,  $C^*_{red}(M)$  is not isomorphic to  $C^*(M)$  from the structure of  $C^*_{red}(S)$  and  $C^*(S)$  in Theorem 2.6.

## 2. Reduced semigroup $C^*$ -algebra $C^*_{red}(\mathcal{S})$

We can give an order on M as follows: if an element x in M is contained in yM for some element  $y \in M$ , then x and y are comparable and we denote it by  $y \leq x$ . This relation makes M a pre-order semigroup. If the unit of M is the only invertible element of M, the above relation on M becomes a partial order on M. And we can say a maximal and a minimal element in M in the following sense; an element  $a_0 \in M$  is maximal if and only if  $a_0 \leq x$  implies  $x = a_0$  and an element  $a_1$  is minimal if and only if  $x \leq a_1$  implies  $a_1 = x$  for  $x \in M$ .

Let  $S = \{0, 2, 3, ...\}$ , then the ordered group  $(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  is a partially ordered group and not unperforated, so the order structure of  $(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  is different from that of  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N})$ .

Since  $C_{red}^*(\mathcal{S})$  is the closed linear span of  $\{\mathcal{L}_{n_1}\mathcal{L}_{n_2}^*\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n_{2k-1}}\mathcal{L}_{n_{2k}}^*\mid n_j\in\mathcal{S}\}$ , we look at how the left regular isometry  $\mathcal{L}_n$  acts on  $l^2(\mathcal{S})$  for each  $n\in\mathcal{S}$ .

If we define a map  $\delta_n$  by the equation for each  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\delta_n(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $\{\delta_n \mid n \in \mathcal{S}\}$  is the canonical orthonormal basis of  $l^2(\mathcal{S})$ . And we have  $\mathcal{L}_n(\delta_m) = \delta_{n+m}$  for  $n, m \in \mathcal{S}$ .

We put 
$$P_n = \mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_n^*$$
 and  $Q_n = I - P_n$  for each  $n \in P$ 

PROPOSITION 2.1 The projection  $P_n$  is the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span of  $\{\delta_n, \delta_{n+2}, \ldots\}$  and  $Q_n$  is the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span of  $\{\delta_0, \delta_2, \delta_3, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}\}$ .

PROOF If  $m \leq n$  for each  $m, n \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$P_n(\delta_m) = \mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_n^*(\delta_m) = \mathcal{L}_n(\delta_{m-n} = \delta_m).$$

Since  $m \leq n$  implies that  $m - n \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $m \leq n$  if and only if  $m \in \{n, n + 2, n + 3, \ldots\}$ . if m is not comparable with n or  $m \geq n$ , then  $P_n(\delta_m) = 0$ . Therefore  $P_n$  is the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span of  $\{\delta_n, \delta_{n+2}, \delta_{n+3}, \ldots\}$  and  $O_n = I - P_n$  is the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span of  $\{\delta_0, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C^*_{red}(S)$  generated by  $P_n$  for all  $n \in P$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(S))$  the ideal of  $C^*_{red}(S)$  generated by  $Q_n$  for all  $n \in P$ .

The group  $C^*$ -algebra of an abelian group is, of course, it self abelian and so not very interesting from the point of view of  $C^*$ -theory. But the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras and the semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras may not be abelian and moreover primitive for a large abelian class of semigroups.

We can see there exists no non-trivial reducing subspace of  $l^2(P)$  for  $C^*_{red}(S)$  by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2.  $C_{red}^*(P)$  acts irreducibly on  $l^2(\mathcal{S})$ .

PROOF. Assume that the operator T in  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$  commutes with  $C_{red}^*(\mathcal{S})$ . Let  $[T_{n,m}]$  denote the matricial representative with respect to the canonical orthonormal basis  $\{\delta_n\}$  of  $l^2(\mathcal{S})$ . Then

$$T_{n,m} = \langle T(\delta_m), \delta_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle T(\delta_m), \mathcal{L}_n \delta_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{L}_n^* T(\delta_m), \delta_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle T \mathcal{L}_n^* (\delta_m), \delta_0 \rangle.$$

Similarly  $T_{n,m} = \langle T\mathcal{L}_m \delta_0, \delta_n \rangle = \langle T\delta_0, \mathcal{L}_m^* \delta_n \rangle$ . Hence  $T_{n,m} = 0$  if n is not equal to m, so T is a diagonal operator. Furthermore we can have that  $T_{n,n} = T_{0,0}$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{S}$  from the following equation

$$T_{n,n} = \langle T\mathcal{L}_n(\delta_0), \mathcal{L}_n(\delta_0) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_n^* \mathcal{L}_n T(\delta_0), \delta_0 \rangle = \langle T(\delta_0), \delta_0 \rangle.$$

It follows that  $C^*_{red}(S)$  acts irreducibly on  $l^2(S)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3. The commutator ideal  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(\mathcal{S}))$  of  $C^*_{red}(\mathcal{S})$  is the compact operator algebra  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$ .

PROOF. Since  $C^*_{red}(S)$  is generated by  $\mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\mathcal{L}_3$ , it is enough to see how these operators act on  $l^2(S)$ . The operator  $I - \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_2^*$  is of finite rank, so contained in  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(S))$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(S))$  and the commutator ideal  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(S))$  have non-empty intersection. Since  $C^*_{red}(S)$  acts irreducibly on  $l^2(S)$  by the Proposition 2.2,  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(S))$  acts also irreducibly on  $l^2(S)$ . Therefore the commutator ideal  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(S))$  contains the compact operator algebra  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(S))$  because  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(S))$  and  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(S))$  have non-empty intersection [15].

Furthermore  $C^*_{red}(\mathcal{S})/\mathcal{K}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$  is abelian because  $I - \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_2^*$  and  $I - \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_3^*$  are contained in  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$ . Hence  $\mathcal{Z}(C^*_{red}(\mathcal{S}))$  is equal to  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$ .

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is simple if  $\mathcal{A}$  has no non-trivial closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  and prime if any two non-zero closed ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  have non-zero intersection. The prime  $C^*$ -algebras and the simple  $C^*$ -algebras play an important role in the theory of the structure of the  $C^*$ -algebras because the prime  $C^*$ -algebras and the simple  $C^*$ -algebras are the analogs of factors in the theory of von Neumann algebras.

Though there are many interesting simple group  $C^*$ -algebras, the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras are rarely simple for a large and natural class of semigroups. The facts which we have interest in are that there are abundantly prime reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebras and that it is still open when the reduced semigroup  $C^*$ -algebra is prime.

Proposition 2.4.  $C^*_{red}(\mathcal{S})$  is prime

PROOF. Let J be a non-zero ideal of  $C^*_{red}(S)$ . If x is a non-zero element in J, xk is a compact operator for each  $k \in \mathcal{K}(l^2(S))$ . Since J is also irreducible because of the irreducibility of  $C^*_{red}(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(l^2(P))$  is contained in J. Therefore, if I and J are non-zero ideals in  $C^*_{red}(S)$ , then I and J have a non-zero intersection. So  $C^*_{red}(S)$  is prime.  $\square$ 

Proposition 2.5.  $C_{red}^*(S)$  is primitive.

PROOF. Since  $C^*_{red}(S)$  acts irreducibly on  $l^2(\mathcal{S})$ , we can see the identity map from  $C^*_{red}(S)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathcal{S}))$  as a faithful irreducible representation of  $C^*_{red}(S)$ .

## REFERENCES

- L. A. Coburn, The C\*-algebra generated by an isometry, I, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 722-726; II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969), 211-217.
- J. Cuntz, Simple C\*-algebras generated by isometries, Comm. Math. Phys. 57 (1977), 173-185.
- K. R. Davidson and D. R. Pitts, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, Math. Ann. 311 (1998), 275 -303.
- K. R. Davidson and D. R. Pitts, Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free

- semigroup algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 78 (1999,), 401-430.
- R. G. Douglas, On the C\*-algebra of a one-parameter semigroup of isometries, Acta Math. 128 (1972), 143-152.
- J. Duncan and A. L. T. Paterson, C\*-algebras of inverse semigroups, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 28 (1985), 41-58.
- N. J. Fowler and I. Raeburn, The Toeplitz algebra of a Hilbert bimodule, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 155-181.
- S. Y. Jang, Reduced crossed products by semigroups of automorphisms, Jour. Korean Math. Soc. 36 (1999), 97-107.
- S. Y. Jang, Reduced semigroup C\*-algebras, preprint.
- M. J.  $M_cAsey$  and P. S. Muhly, Representations of non-self adjoint crossed product, Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) 47 (1983), 128-144.
- P. S. Muhly, A structure theory for isometric representations of a class of semigroups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 255 (1972), 135-154.
- G. J. Murphy, Crossed products of C\*-algebras by semigroups of automorphisms, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 68 (1994), 423-448.
- A. Nica, C\*-algebras generated by isometries and Wiener Hopf operators, J. Operator Theory 27 (1992), 17-52.
- M. Pavone, Toeplitz operators on discrete groups, J. Operator Theory 27 (1992), 359-384.
- G. K. Pedersen, C\*-algebras and their automorphism groups, Academic Press, London, 1979.
- H. Salas, Semigroups of isometries with commuting range projections, J. Operator Theory 14 (1985), 311-346.

Department of Mathematics University of Ulsan Ulsan, 680–748, KOREA e-mail:jsym@uou.ulsan.ac.kr