# On the boundedness of the set containing univalent functions

Gyu Ihn Chae
Dept. of General Education

(Abstract)

We denote a set of locally univalent functions defined on D by L.S. and S denote the subset of L.S. We consider  $X_3$  as a norm topology defining a norm  $||f||_3 = \int_0^1 M(r; f) dr$ . First, we explained properties of  $X_3$  as a set, or a norm topological space.

Finally, we shall introduce the boundedness of S in  $X_3$  on the compact open topology.

### 다엽함수를 포함하고 있는 집합의 유계성에 관하여

채 구 인 교양과정부

〈요 약〉

복소수 평면상의 단위원 내부영역에서 국소단엽함수족들을 L.S.라 표시하고 그 부분집합을 S로 표시했다. 우리는 norm(노름)을  $\|f\|_{S}=\int_{0}^{1}M(r:f)dr$ 로 정의하여  $X_{S}$ 을 norm 위상으로 생각했다.

처음에  $X_3$ 에 대한 집합 또는 norm 위상공간상에서 여러성질을 보았다. 결론으로 L.S.부분집합 S의 유계성을 compact개위상에서 증명한다.

#### T. Introduction

Let L.S. denote the family of locally schlicht holomorphic functions in  $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$  and let S denote the family of schlicht functions in  $L.S.=\{f:f \text{ analytic in } D, f(0)=0, f'(0)=1\}$ . In [1], [2], [3] and [4], the set L.S. can be given a real linear space structure with operations.

$$[f+g](z) = \int_0^z f'(\varphi)g'(\varphi)d\varphi$$

$$[\alpha f](z) = \int_0^z (f'(\varphi))^\alpha d\varphi$$
(1·1)

where f and g is in L.S. and  $\alpha$  is real. And two different normed topological spaces,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  were introduced, the next theorms were shown.

In this paper, we first study some results on  $X_3$ , and we shall introduce a theorem on  $X_3$  and show the main theorem that S is bounded in  $X_3$  on the compact open topology.

## II, Some results on X and topological properties

In [1], [2], [3] and [4], if F is a linear-invariant family, the order of F is defined as the real number

$$\alpha = \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{f''(0)}{2} \right| : f \in F \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

[4] is shown that  $\alpha > 1$ . And if

$$t(z,f) \equiv \left| -\bar{z} + \frac{(1-|z|^2)}{2} \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (2\cdot 2)$$

then  $\alpha = \text{order } F = \sup_{f \in F} \sup_{|z| < 1} t(z, f)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  denote the union of all linear-invariant family of oder  $\leq \alpha$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = \{ f \in L. S. : \sup t(z, f) \leq \alpha, |z| < 1 \}$$
 (2.3)

Then we can see easily that for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  is also a linear-invariant of order  $\alpha$ . For any function f(z) in X and any  $0 < \rho \le 1$ , we define  $f_{\rho}(z) = f(\rho z)/\rho$ .

**Proposition 2.1:** If f(z) is in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , then  $f_{\rho}(z)$ ,  $(0 < \rho \le 1)$  is also in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ .

Proof. D.M. Campbell, Locally univalent functions with locally univalent derivative. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162(1971), 395–409. results and  $\sup_{\|\boldsymbol{z}\|<1} t(\boldsymbol{z},f) \leq \sup_{\|\boldsymbol{z}\|<1} t(\boldsymbol{z},f)$  gives the proof.

Pommerenke [4] showed that if f is in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , there is a constant  $K=K(\alpha)$  such that

$$|\log f'(z)| \le K \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|} (z \in D) \tag{2.4}$$

Let X be the union of  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , i.e.,  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq 1} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ 

(2.5)

then X is a proper subset of L.S. and is a real linear subspace of L.S. with the induced operation (1.1). The above result is shown in [1], [2], [3] and [4], they introduced two different norm topology from a norm topology in this paper. As a result of that, they found several topological properties on them.

### II. On the results of $X_3$ with another topology

We shall introduce a different norm from that of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  to equip  $X_3$  with a normed topological space. If we define

$$M(\mathbf{r}; f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\log|f'(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta})| d\theta$$
 (3.1)

then we have for f & g in  $X_3$  and  $\alpha$  a real number

$$M(r; [f+g]) \leq M(r; f) + M(r; g)$$
  

$$M(r; [\alpha f]) = |\alpha| M(r; f)$$
(3.2)

We use (3.1) &(3.2) to equip  $X_3$  with a norm topology by defining the norm

$$||f||_3 = \int_0^1 M(r; f) dr$$
, where f is in  $X_3$  (3.3)

We have written  $X_3$  as the above normed topology.

Papers [1]&[3] let us obtain that the convergence in  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  implies the convergence in the compacta, as well as in  $X_3$ -topology. (shown in my the graduate degree paper). That is, convergence in  $X_3$  implies convergence in the compact open topology. From this, we can see that  $X_3$ -topology is not weaker than the compact open topology. The partial converse of the above is shown by the following.

Proposition 3.1: If a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  converges to f in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  in compact open topology, then  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f in the  $X_3$  topology.

Proof (2.4) implies that for |z|=r (0< r<1),

$$|\log|f'_n(re^{i\theta})| \leq K \log \frac{1}{1-r}$$

where K is a constant, if we choose a integral both sides,

$$M(r;f) \leq K \log \frac{1}{1-r}$$

then we can take  $r_1(0 < r_1 < r < 1)$  such that

$$K \int_{r_1}^{1} \log \frac{1}{1-r} dr < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

and by the assumption, there exists an integer N such that for all  $n \geqslant N$ ,

$$|\log |f'_n(z)| - \log |f'(z)|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
  $(|z| \le r_1)$ 

hence 
$$M(r: [f_n-f]) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
  $(r \le r_1)$ 

Thus for such  $r_1 \& N$ , if n > N then

$$||f_{n}-f||_{3} = \int_{0}^{r_{1}} M(r; [f_{n}-f]) dr + \int_{r_{1}}^{1} M(r; [f_{m}-f]) dr + \int_{r_{1}}^{1} M(r; [f_{m}-f]) dr + \int_{r_{1}}^{1} M(r; f) dr$$

The proof completes.

In order to prove the main theorem in this paper, we need the followings (Lemma and Definition).

Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be the family of sets of holomorphic (or continuous) mappings on  $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$ 

**Definition** 3.2: The subset F in  $\mathcal{F}$  is said bounded if and only if for each compact set K contained in D,

$$\sup_{K}\{\|f\|:f{\in}F\}{<}\infty$$

That is, the definition tells that the functions in F are uniformly bounded on each compact subset.

Lemma 3.3: For F in  $\mathcal{F}$ , F is bounded iff each sequence in F has a convergent subsequence.

Proof. It follows from the problem 2[5.p.168]. Theorem 3.4: The subst S of L.S. (defined in 1. Introduction) is bounded in  $X_3$  on the compact open topology.

Proof. As a result of Lemma 3.3, it's sufficient to show that each sequence in S has a convergent subsequence. Let f be in S, and  $\{\rho_n\}$  be a sequence of positive number increasing to 1. For each  $n=1,2,3,\cdots$ ,

 $f_n(z) = \frac{f(\rho_n z)}{\rho_n}$  is analytic in  $|z| < \frac{1}{\rho_n}$ , and  $f'_n(z) \neq 0$  on  $\overline{D}$ . Since S is  $\mathscr{U}_{\alpha}$ , by the proposition 2.1  $f_n(z)$  is contained in S. And from

proposition 3.1, the sequence  $\{\log |f_n'(z)|\}$  converges to  $\log |f'(z)|$  uniformly, that is,  $\{f_n(z)\}\longrightarrow f(z)\equiv S$  in  $X_3$  on the compact open topology. Since uniformly convergent sequence has a convergent subsequence, by Lemma 3.3 the proof completes.

#### References

- D.M. Campball, J.A. Cima, and J.A. Pfaltzgraff. Linear space and linear-invariant families of locally univalent analytic functions, Manusoripta Math. 4(1971), 1-30.
- J. A. Chima, and J. A. Pfaltzgraff, Banach space of locally univalent functions, Michigan Mach. 17(1970), 321—334.
- 3. J.A. Chima, and J.A. Pfaltzgraff, A normed linear space containing the schlicht functions, Monatsbefte Math. 75(1971), 296—302.
- Ch. Pommerenke, Linear-invariante Familien analytischer Funktion 1. Math. Annalen 155 (1964), 108-154.
- Robert B B. Ash. Complex variables. Academic press, Inc. 1971.