



이학석사 학위논문

In vitro activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam against multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*

다제내성 *Klebsiella pneumoniae*와 *Escherichia coli* 에 대한 ceftazidime-avibactam과 aztreonam-avibactam의

감수성 연구

울산대학교 대학원 의 과 학 과 이승철

In vitro activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam against multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*

지도교수 정용필

이 논문을 이학석사 학위 논문으로 제출함

2020년 2월

울산대학교 대학원 의 과 학 과 이승철

이승철의 이학 석사학위 논문을 인준함

심사위원	0]	상	오	(인)
심사위원	성	00	섭	(인)
심사위원	정	용	필	(인)

울산대학교대학원

2020년 2월

Abstract

Background: Increasing resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporin and carbapenem in *Enterobacteriaceae* makes it challenging to select appropriate antibiotics. There are limited treatment options for these pathogens. Avibactam, new β -lactamase inhibitor, with other β -lactams, can overcome resistance due to various β -lactamases. My study aimed to evaluate *in vitro* activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam and their inoculum effect in multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Enterobacteriaceae* including carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE). The study also assessed their *in vitro* activity according to resistance mechanism against β -lactam having these MDR pathogens.

Methods: A total of 228 non-repetitive, consecutive extended-spectrum β -lactam-resistant *Escherichia. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* blood isolates (120 and 108 isolates, respectively) were prospectively collected from blood cultures in Asan Medical Center from Jan 2017 and May 2018. To better define the inhibitory profile of study antibiotics, 81 carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates (25 and 56 isolates, respectively) isolated from various clinical specimens were collected from Jan 2011 to Oct 2018 and separately tested. *In vitro* susceptibilities to ceftazidime, aztreonam, meropenem, ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, colistin, and tigecycline were evaluated by the broth microdilution reference method using standard and high inocula. Phenotypic determination of resistance mechanism to β -lactam and PCR for the detection of carbapenemase genes were performed in CRE.

Results: All 228 study blood isolates were resistant to cefotaxime; 26 (11%) were nonsusceptible to carbapenem, and only three (1%) were carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE) in *K. pneumoniae*. Ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonamavibactam exhibited excellent *in vitro activity* against study blood isolates; MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ were $0.5/2 \mu g/mL$ and $0.125/0.5 \mu g/mL$, respectively. Ninety-nine percent of blood isolates were susceptible (MIC $\leq 8 \mu g/mL$) to ceftazidime-avibactam, and when the aztreonam-avibactamsusceptible breakpoint of 8 $\mu g/mL$ was applied, 99% of isolates were susceptible to aztreonamavibactam. Ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam were more active against *E. coli* than against *K. pneumoniae*. The positive rates of inoculum effect for ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, and meropenem were 22%, 30%, and 38%, respectively. *K. pneumoniae* exhibited significantly higher rates of the inoculum effect on ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, and meropenem than *E. coli*. Ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam showed relatively good susceptibilities in 81 CRE isolates; 73% of CRE isolates were susceptible to ceftazidime-avibactam, and 95% of isolates had aztreonam-avibactam MICs of $\leq 8 \mu g/mL$. The resistance rate to tigecycline was high (75%), whereas that to colistin was 13%. When comparing non-carbapenemase producing (non-CP) CRE and CPE, ceftazidime-avibactam was more active against non-CP CRE (MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀, 2/16 µg/mL vs. 4/ \geq 512 µg/mL), and aztreonam-avibactam is more active against CPE (MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀, 0.5/8 µg/mL vs. 0.25/1 µg/mL). The positive rates of inoculum effect for ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam were 18% and 47%, respectively.

Conclusions: Ceftazidime-avibactam was a reasonable choice to overcome extendedspectrum β -lactam-resistant isolates; however, it had weak activity against CRE, especially against CPE. Aztreonam-avibactam was more active *in vitro* against extended-spectrum β lactam-resistant and CRE isolates than ceftazidime-avibactam. However, due to its substantial inoculum effect in CRE, a possibility of aztreonam-avibactam treatment failure should be considered in the high inoculum infection.

(Keywords: tmultidrug-resistant enterobacteriaceae, ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonamavibactam, CRE)

Contents

Abstract·····i
List of Tablesiv
Introduction1
Materials and Methods
1. Bacterial isolates
2. Antimicrobial susceptibility test3
3. Inoculum effect
Result
Discussion
Reference21
국문요약

List of Tables

Table 1. PCR primers used for the detection of carbapenemase genes
Table 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum β -Lactam resistant <i>E. coli</i> and <i>K</i> .
pneumoniae isolates to five antimicrobial agents
Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum β-Lactam resistant <i>E. coli</i> isolates
to five antimicrobial agents
Table 4. Antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum β -Lactam-resistant K.
pneumoniae isolates to five antimicrobial agents10
Table 5. Positive rates of inoculum effect for extended-spectrum β -Lactam-resistant isolates 11
Table 6. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant E. coli and K. pneumoniae
isolates to seven antimicrobial agents
Table 7. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant E. coli isolates to seven
antimicrobial agents ·····14
Table 8. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae isolates to
seven antimicrobial agents 15
Table 9. Positive rates of inoculum effect for carbapenem-resistant isolates
Table 10. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant E. coli and K. pneumoniae
isolates according to resistant mechanism and inoculum size17
Table 11. Positive rates of inoculum effect for carbapenem-resistant isolates according to
resistance mechanism 18

Introduction

Bacteremia caused by extended-spectrum β -lactam (third-generated cephalosporin)-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* infections is a concern for global human health. Increasing resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins in *Enterobacteriaceae* makes it difficult to select appropriate antibiotics. There were new problems that carbapenem-resistant isolates appeared and spreading worldwide¹⁻³⁾. There are limited treatment options for these pathogens, and colistin is the most frequently used one. However, colistin is hard to be maintained, especially in critically ill patients due to its high renal toxicity⁴⁾. For these reasons, there were some efforts to solve these problems by combining avibactam, new β -lactamase inhibitor, with other β -lactam antibiotics. Ceftazidime-avibactam, which targets extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing or AmpC β -lactamase-producing strains and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE), has been extensively studied⁵⁻⁷⁾. Ceftazidime-avibactam was initially an effective antibiotic that can overcome ESBLs, AmpC β -lactamase (AmpC), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing carbapenemases (KPCs), and OXA-48, but multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria resistant to it gradually began to emerge; it is not active against metallo- β -lactamase (MBL) such as NDM-1⁸⁾.

Aztreonam, a monobactam, is a unique agent among currently used β -lactams, in that it is stable to hydrolysis by MBLs. However, aztreonam is easily inactivated by ESBLs, AmpC, and KPCs. *Enterobacteriaceae* carrying MBL also commonly carry these β -lactamases, that inactivate aztreonam, negating the activity of aztreonam against MBL. Over time, the susceptibility of *Enterobacteriaceae* to aztreonam has been reduced. When combined with avibactam, aztreonam can inhibit cell wall synthesis in MBL-producing bacteria, despite the presence of co-carried β -lactamases. Thus, aztreonam-avibactam can have an advantage over ceftazidime-avibactam in the treatment against MBL-producing strains. However, there are limited data on the susceptibility of aztreonam-avibactam to MDR pathogens or CRE. β -lactam antibiotics are known to have an inoculum effect against gram-negative bacteria with variable extents. The inoculum effect is a laboratory phenomenon described as a significant increase in the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of an antibiotic when the number of

bacteria inoculated is increasing⁹⁾. In clinical situations, treatment with an antibiotic with the inoculum effect can fail in a high bacterial burden infection such as an abscess.

My study aimed to evaluate *in vitro* activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonamavibactam and their inoculum effect in MDR *Enterobacteriaceae* including CRE. The study also assessed their *in vitro* activity according to resistance mechanism against β -lactam having these MDR pathogens.

Materials and Methods

1. Bacterial isolates

A total of 228 non-repetitive, consecutive extended-spectrum β -lactam (third-generation cephalosporin)-resistant *Escherichia. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* blood isolates (120 and 108 isolates, respectively) were prospectively collected from blood cultures in Asan Medical Center, a 2700-bed, university-affiliated tertiary-care teaching hospital in the Republic of Korea from Jan 2017 and May 2018. To better define the inhibitory profile of study antibiotics, 81 carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates (25 and 56 isolates, respectively) isolated from various clinical specimens were collected from Jan 2011 to Oct 2018 and separately tested. Species identification and initial antimicrobial susceptibilities were determined by the MicroScan Walk-Away plus System using Neg Combo Panel Type 72 (Dade Behring Inc., West Sacramento, CA). The isolates were classified into four groups according to the phenotype of their β -lactamases produced; 1) ESBL producer, 2) AmpC producer, 3) ESBL and AmpC coproducer 4) carbapenemase producer.

2. Antibiotic susceptibility test and resistance investigation

In vitro susceptibilities to ceftazidime, aztreonam, meropenem, ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, colistin, and tigecycline were evaluated in triplicate by the broth microdilution (BMD) reference method using standard inocula as described in the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines¹⁰⁾. Each strain stored at -80 ° C were streaked on an agar plate and incubated 24 hours before the experiment, and then placed the colony directly into the test tube and measured 0.5 McFarland standard. Ceftazidime, aztreonam, meropenem, tigecycline, and colistin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and avibactam was purchased from Adooq Bioscience (Irvine, CA, USA). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 were used as a reference strain. All results determined with these strains were within the CLSI quality control ranges. All BMD results except colistin and tigecycline were interpreted according to the standard criteria of the CLSI guideline, and those of colistin and tigecycline were interpreted according to the 2019

EUCAST susceptibility breakpoints. Antimicrobial ranges tested and expressed in μ g/mL were as follows: ceftazidime (0.06–256), aztreonam (0.06–256), ceftazidime-avibactam (0.015/4–256/4), aztreonam-avibactam (0.015/4–256/4), meropenem (0.015–128), colistin (0.25–128), and tigecycline (0.03–256).

The isolates were confirmed for the presence of ESBLs by the MicroScan ESBL detection test (included Neg Combo Panel Type 72) using cefotaxime and ceftazidime alone and in combination with clavulanic acid. For the isolates in which the presence of ESBLs was not confirmed by the MicroScan ESBL detection test, further double-disk synergy test using cefotaxime (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg) and amoxicillin plus clavulanate (20 μ g and 10 μ g each) was performed^{11, 12}). The isolates, non-susceptible to cefoxitin (MIC > 8 µg/mL), was considered a surrogate marker for the presence of high-level production of AmpC and were further characterized by the AmpC confirmatory test using cefoxitin and cloxacillin¹³⁾. Cefepime, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid disc were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA), and cefoxitin disc was commercially obtained from Oxoid (Basingstoke, UK). Modified carbapenem inactivation method (mCIM) was conducted when isolates were suspicious for carbapenemase production based on imipenem or meropenem MICs $\geq 2 \mu g/mL$ or ertapenem MIC $\geq 1 \mu g/mL$ (using 10 μg meropenem discs) according to the CLSI guidelines¹⁴⁾. Genes for KPC, VIM, NDM, and OXA-48-like carbapenemases were sought by in-house multiplex PCR in all carbapenemase-producing isolates, which were identified by mCIM. The sequence of primers used for this study was as follows.

Primer	Primer sequence	Product size (bp)	Reference
KPC forward	5'-ATGTCACTGTATCGCCGTCT-3'		Schechner
KPC reverse	5'-TTTTCAGAGCCTTACTGCCC-3'	893	<i>et al.</i> (2009) ¹⁵⁾
NDM-1 forward	5'-GAATGTCTGGCAGCACACTT-3'		Du
NDM-1 reverse	5'-TTGGCCTTGCTGTCCTTGAT-3'	480	<i>et al.</i> (2013) ¹⁶⁾
OXA-48 forward	5'-GCTTGATCGCCCTCGATT-3'		
OXA-48 reverse	5'-GATTTGCTCCGTGGCCGAAA-3'	281	Dallenne <i>et al.</i>
VIM forward	5'-GATGGTGTTTGGTCGCATA-3'		$(2010)^{17)}$
VIM reverse	5'-CGAATGCGCAGCACCAG-3'	390	

Table 1. PCR primers used for the detection of carbapenemase genes

3. Determination of inoculum effect

To determine whether there was an inoculum effect, the MICs of each β -lactam were determined using high inocula $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/mL})^{18}$. An inoculum effect was defined as an eightfold or greater increase in the MIC when tested with the higher inoculum¹⁹.

Results

1. Susceptibility of extended-spectrum β -lactam-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* blood isolates and inoculum effect

All 228 study blood isolates were resistant to cefotaxime by the MicroScan panel; 26 (11%) isolates were non-susceptible to carbapenem, and only three (1%) were carbapenemaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) in K. pneumoniae. Most isolates were resistant to ceftazidime and aztreonam (78% and 87%, respectively). However, ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam exhibited good in vitro activity against study blood isolates; MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ were 0.5/2 µg/mL and 0.125/0.5 µg/mL, respectively (Table 2). Ninety-nine percent of isolates were susceptible (MIC \leq 8 µg/mL) to ceftazidime-avibactam, and when the aztreonam-avibactam-susceptible breakpoint of 8 µg/mL was applied, 99% of isolates were susceptible to aztreonam-avibactam. The MICs distributions of study antibiotics in each E. coli and K. pneumoniae are shown in Table 3 and 4. K. pneumoniae had higher MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ values of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam than E. coli ($1/4 \mu g/mL$ and 0.12/1µg/mL vs. 0.25/1 µg/mL and 0.12/0.25 µg/mL, respectively). Ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam were more active against E. coli than against K. pneumoniae. Among 228 blood isolates, only two isolates (0.9%) in K. pneumoniae were resistant to ceftazidimeavibactam; one was CPE, and the other was non-carbapenemase-producing CRE (non-CP-CRE). In addition, two isolates in K. pneumoniae and one in E. coli had aztreonam/avibactam MICs of $\geq 16 \,\mu g/mL$; all were non-CP-CRE.

At high inocula, MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of ceftazidime-avibactam increased from 0.5 to 1 μ g/mL and from 2 to 8 μ g/mL, respectively; those of aztreonam-avibactam, from 0.125 to 0.25 μ g/mL and from 0.5 to 64 μ g/mL, respectively; those of meropenem, from 0.03 to 0.125 μ g/mL and from 0.25 to 16 μ g/mL. Hence, 8% and 21% of isolates became resistant to ceftazidime-avibactam and meropenem, respectively, at high inocula; 15% of isolates were aztreonam-avibactam MICs of \geq 16 μ g/mL. The positive rates of inoculum effect for ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, and meropenem were 22%, 30%, and 38%, respectively. Table 5 shows differences in the inoculum effect between *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae. K.*

pneumoniae exhibited significantly higher rates of the inoculum effect on ceftazidimeavibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, and meropenem than *E. coli*.

Antimicrobial				Numb	er of isc	olates (c	cumulat	ive %) v	with ind	licated I	MICs (µ	g/mL)				MIC (µ	ıg/mL)ª	S
agent	≤0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	n(%) ^b
CAZ						5 (2.2)	11 (7.0)	12 (12.3)	21 (21.5)	22 (31.1)	34 (46.1)	21 (55.3)	22 (64.9)	24 (75.4)	56 (100)	64	≥512	28 (12.3)
CAZ-AVI	1 (0.4)		8 (3.9)	65 (32.4)	72 (64.0)	47 (84.6)	22 (94.3)	9 (98.2)	2 (99.1)	1 (99.6)			1 (100)			0.5	2	226 (99.1)
ATM					4 (1.8)		5 (4.0)	5 (6.2)	16 (13.2)	20 (21.9)	25 (32.9)	37 (49.1)	33 (63.6)	83 ^c (100)		128	≥512	14 (6.2)
ATM-AVI	2 (0.9)	42 (19.3)	109 (67.1)	48 (88.2)	9 (92.1)	6 (94.7)	6 (97.4)	3 (98.7)		2 (99.6)	1 (100)					0.125	0.5	NA
MEM	143 (62.7)	49 (84.2)	12 (89.5)	10 (93.9)	5 (96.1)		1 (96.5)	2 (97.4)	2 (98.2)		1 (98.7)	3° (100)				0.03	0.25	219 (96.1)

Table 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum β-lactam resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates to five antimicrobial agents (n=228)

S, susceptible; CAZ, ceftazidime; CAZ-AVI, ceftazidime-avibactam; ATM, aztreonam; ATM-AVI, aztreonam-avibactam; MEM, meropenem ^a 50 and 90%, MICs at which 50 and 90% of isolates are inhibited, respectively.

^bCLSI susceptibility breakpoints were used: ceftazidime, $\leq 4 \mu g/mL$; ceftazidime-avibactam, $\leq 8/4 \mu g/mL$; aztreonam, $\leq 4 \mu g/mL$; meropenem,

 $\leq 1 \mu g/mL$; no breakpoint criteria have been defined for aztreonam-avibactam.

^cMIC is greater than or equal to the indicated value.

Antimicrobial	Inoculum				N	umber of	f isolates	(cumula	tive %) v	vith indic	ated MIC	Cs (µg/m	L)				MIC (µg/mL)	S
agent	size	≤0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	n (%)
CAZ	Standard						5 (4.2)	11 (13.3)	5 (17.5)	18 (32.5)	17 (46.7)	28 (70.0)	14 (81.7)	15 (94.2)	3 (96.7)	4 (100)	32	128	21 (17.5)
	High						1 (0.8)	3 (3.3)	6 (8.3)	7 (14.2)	12 (24.2)	12 (34.2)	12 (44.2)	14 (55.8)	22 (74.2)	31 (100)	128	≥512	10 (8.3)
CAZ-AVI	Standard	1 (0.8)		7 (6.7)	56 (53.3)	42 (88.3)	12 (98.3)		1 (99.2)	1 (100)							0.25	1	120 (100)
	High			1 (0.8)	30 (25.8)	54 (70.8)	22 (89.2)	1 (90.0)		4 (93.3)	5 (97.5)	2 (99.2)				1 (100)	0.5	2	112 (93.3)
ATM	Standard					1 (0.8)		4 (4.2)	4 (7.5)	14 (19.2)	17 (33.3)	18 (48.3)	28 (71.7)	19 (87.5)	15 ^a (100)		64	≥256	9 (7.5)
	High								1 (0.8)		4 (4.2)	7 (10.0)	7 (15.8)	23 (35.0)		78 (100)	≥512	≥512	1 (0.8)
ATM-AVI	Standard	1 (0.8)	26 (22.5)	67 (78.3)	21 (95.8)	1 (96.7)	1 (97.5)	1 (98.3)	1 (99.2)		1 (100)						0.12	0.25	NA
	High		13 (10.8)	63 (63.3)	25 (84.2)	3 (86.7)	2 (88.3)		1 (89.2)	1 (90.0)	2 (91.7)	1 (92.5)	3 (95.0)	2 (96.7)	1 (97.5)	3 (100)	0.12	8	NA
MEM	Standard	102 (85.0)	11 (94.2)	3 (96.7)	1 (97.5)	2 (99.2)			1 (100)								0.03	0.06	119 (99.2)
	High	25 (20.8)	64 (74.2)	13 (85.0)	2 (86.7)	8 (93.3)			5 (97.5)	2 (99.2)		1 (100)					0.06	0.5	112 (93.3)

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum β -lactam resistant *E. coli* isolates to five antimicrobial agents (n=120)

^a MIC is greater than or equal to the indicated value

Antimicrobial	Inoculum				N	umber of	isolates	(cumula	tive %) v	vith indic	cated MI	Cs (µg/n	nL)				MIC (µ	ug/mL)	S
agent	size	≤0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC90	n (%)
CAZ	Standard								7	3	5	6	7	7	21	52	256	≥512	7
									(6.5)	(9.3)	(13.9)	(19.4)	(25.9)	(32.4)	(51.9)	(100)			(6.5)
	High								1			2	1	2	4	98	≥512	≥512	1
									(0.9)			(2.8)	(3.7)	(5.6)	(9.3)	(100)			(0.9)
CAZ-AVI	Standard			1	9	30	35	22	8	1	1			1			1	4	103
				(0.9)	(9.3)	(37.0)	(69.4)	(89.8)	(97.2)	(98.1)	(99.1)			(100)					(98.1)
	High				5	19	17	26	20	11	4	2	2		2		2	8	98
					(4.6)	(22.2)	(38.0)	(62.0)	(80.6)	(90.7)	(94.4)	(96.3)	(98.1)		(100)				(90.7)
ATM	Standard					3		1	1	2	3	7	9	14	68 ^a		≥512	≥512	5
						(2.8)		(3.7)	(4.6)	(6.5)	(9.3)	(15.7)	(24.1)	(37.0)	(100)				(4.6)
	High					. ,	1	, í				. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	107	≥512	≥512	1
	U						(0.9)									(100)			(0.9)
ATM-AVI	Standard	1	16	42	27	8	5	5	2		1	1				. ,	0.12	1	NA
		(0.9)	(15.7)	(54.6)	(79.6)	(87.0)	(91.7)	(96.3)	(98.1)		(99.1)	(100)							
	High		5	17	15	9	2	4	5	29	3	3	1	2	6	7	4	256	NA
	8		(4.6)	(20.4)	(34.3)	(42.6)	(44.4)	(48.1)	(52.8)	(79.6)	(82.4)	(85.2)	(86.1)	(88.0)	(93.5)	(100)			
MEM	Standard	41	38	9	9	3		1	1	2	()	1	3ª	()	()	()	0.06	0.5	100
		(38.0)	(73.1)	(81.5)	(89.8)	(92.6)		(93.5)	(94.4)	(96.3)		(97.2)	(100)						(92.6)
	High	4	6	10	5	28	16	13	4	(,)	9	3	10 ^a				1	32	69
		(3.7)	(9.3)	(18.5)	(23.1)	(49.1)	(63.9)	(75.9)	(79.6)		(88.0)	(90.7)	(100)				-		(63.9)

Table 4. Antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum β -lactam-resistant K. pneumoniae isolates to five antimicrobial agents (n=108)

^a MIC is greater than or equal to the indicated value

	Number of isolates (%) of positive inoculum e	effect ^a
Isolate	Ceftazidime-avibactam	Aztreonam-avibactam	Meropenem
Total	51 (22.4)	68 (29.8)	84 (37.5)
E. coli	10 (8.3)	12 (10)	15 (12.5)
K. pneumoniae	22 (20.4)	56 (51.9)	69 (66.3) ^b

Table 5. Positive rates of inoculum effect for extended-spectrum β-lactam-resistant isolates

^a Inoculum effect was defined as an eightfold or greater increase in MIC on testing with the higher inoculum

^bFour isolates, which could not be evaluated because of off-scale MICs, were excluded.

2. Susceptibility of 81 CRE isolates isolated from various clinical specimens and inoculum effect

All 81 study isolates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were resistant to ertapenem, imipenem, or meropenem by the Microscan panel; 85% of isolates were resistant to meropenem in BMD, and 43% were CPE. Ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam showed relatively good susceptibility in CRE; 73% of CRE isolates were susceptible to ceftazidime-avibactam, and 95% of isolates had aztreonam-avibactam MICs of $\leq 8 \mu g/mL$ (Table 6). The resistance rate to tigecycline was high (75%), whereas that to colistin was 13%. Most of the tigecyclineresistant isolates and colistin-resistant isolates were *K. pneumoniae* (Table 7 and 8).

At high inocula, MIC₅₀ of ceftazidime-avibactam increased from 4 to 8 µg/mL, and its MIC90 were \geq 512 µg/mL; those of aztreonam-avibactam increased from 0. 5 to 4 µg/mL and from 4 to 256 µg/mL, respectively. Hence, 42% of CRE isolates became resistant to ceftazidimeavibactam, at high inocula; 44% of isolates exhibited aztreonam-avibactam MICs of \geq 16 µg/mL. The positive rates of inoculum effect for ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonamavibactam were 18% and 47%, respectively (Table 9). *K. pneumoniae* exhibited significantly higher rates of the inoculum effect on ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam than *E. coli*.

Antimicrobial				Nu	mber of is	olates (cu	mulative	%) with ii	ndicated N	fICs (μg/r	nL)				MIC (µ	ıg/mL)	S
agent	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	n (%) ^a
CAZ					1 (1.2)	1 (2.5)	1 (3.7)	1 (4.9)		2 (7.4)	1 (8.6)	10 (21.0)	17 (42.0)	47 (100)	≥512	≥512	3 (3.6)
CAZ-AVI				2 (2.5)	13 (18.5)	25 (49.4)	13 (65.4)	6 (72.8)	7 (81.5)	1 (82.7)				14 (100)	4	≥512	59 (72.8)
АТМ					4 (4.9)	1 (6.2)		1 (7.4)			3 (11.1)	2 (13.6)	8 (23.5)	62 (100)	≥512	≥512	5 (6.2)
ATM-AVI	3 (3.7)	1 (4.9)	27 (38.3)	20 (63.0)	15 (81.5)	3 (85.2)	6 (92.6)	2 (95.1)	2 (97.5)	1 (98.8)		1 (100)			0.5	4	NA
MEM			3 (3.7)	3 (7.4)	2 (9.9)	4 (14.8)	4 (19.8)	12 (34.6)	15 (53.1)	11 (66.7)	12 (81.5)	4 (86.4)	11 ^b (100)		16	≥256	8 (9.9)
CST			19 (23.5)	51 (86.4)			2 (88.9)	2 (91.4)	3 (95.1)			3 (98.8)	1 ^b (100)		0.5	8	70 (86.4)
TGC		2 (2.5)	9 (13.6)	9 (24.7)	18 (46.9)	14 (64.2)	17 (85.2)	7 (93.8)	2 (96.3)	1 (97.5)	1 (98.8)		1 (100)		2	8	20 (24.7)

Table 6. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates to seven antimicrobial agents (n=81)

^a CLSI susceptibility breakpoints were used: ceftazidime, $\leq 4 \mu g/mL$; ceftazidime-avibactam, $\leq 8/4 \mu g/mL$; aztreonam, $\leq 4 \mu g/mL$; meropenem, $\leq 1 \mu g/mL$; no breakpoint criteria have been defined for aztreonam-avibactam. 2019 EUCAST susceptibility breakpoints were used for colistin and tigecycline: colistin, $\leq 2 \mu g/mL$; tigecycline, $\leq 0.5 \mu g/mL$.

^b MIC is greater than or equal to the indicated value

Antimicrobial	Inoculum				Numł	per of iso	lates (cur	nulative	%) with i	ndicated	MICs (µ	g/mL)				MIC (µ	ıg/mL)	S
agent	size	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	n (%)
CAZ	Standard						1 (4.0)				2 (12.0)		1 (16.0)	5 (36.0)	16 (64.0)	≥512	≥512	1 (4.0)
	High											1 (4.0)		3 (16.0)	21 (100)	≥512	≥512	0 (0.0)
CAZ-AVI	Standard					5 (20.0)	6 (44.0)	4 (60.0)	2 (68.0)	3 (80.0)					5 (100)	4	≥512	17 (68.0)
	High					4 (16.0)	4 (32.0)	3 (44.0)	5 (64.0)	2 (72.0)	1 (76.0)				6 (100)	8	≥512	16 (64.0)
ATM	Standard					1 (4.0)	1 (8.0)		1 (12.0)			3 (24.0)	1 (28.0)	2 (36.0)	16 (100)	≥512	≥512	2 (8.0)
	High					1 (4.0)	1 (8.0)					1 (12.0)		2 (20.0)	20 (100)	≥512	≥512	2 (8.0)
ATM-AVI	Standard	3 (12.0)		7 (40.0)	5 (60.0)	2 (68.0)	1 (72.0)	3 (84.0)	2 (92.0)		1 (96.0)		1 (100)			0.5	8	NA
	High		2 (8.0)	5 (28.0)	5 (48.0)	1 (52.0)	4 (68.0)	3 (80.0)	1 (84.0)		2 (92.0)			1 (96.0)	1 (100)	1	32	NA
MEM	Standard			1 (4.0)		2 (12.0)	2 (20.0)	1 (24.0)	8 (56.0)	5 (76.0)	2 (84.0)	2 (92.0)	1 (96)	1 ^a (100)		8	64	3 (12.0)
	High				1 (4.0)	1 (8.0)	2 (16.0)	1 (20.0)	6 (44.0)	5 (64.0)	3 (76.0)	3 (88.0)		3 ^a (100)		16	≥256	2 (8.0)
CST	Standard			11 (44.0)	13 (96.0))			1 (100)								0.5	0.5	24 (96.0)
TGC	Standard		2 (8.0)	9 (44.0)	7 (72.0)	3 (84.0)	1 (88.0)	1 (92.0)		1 (96.0)	1 (100)					0.5	4	18 (72.0)

Table 7. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* isolates to seven antimicrobial agents (n=25)

^a MIC is greater than or equal to the indicated value

Antimicrobial	Inoculum				Number	of isolate	s (cumula	tive %) w	vith indica	ted MICs	(µg/mL)				MIC (ug/mL)	S
agent	size	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC90	n (%)
CAZ	Standard				1		1	1			1	9	12	31	≥512	≥512	2
					(1.8)		(3.6)	(5.4)			(7.2)	(23.3)	(44.7)	(100)			(3.6)
	High				1			1				1	1	52	≥512	≥512	1
					(1.8)			(3.6)				(5.4)	(7.2)	(100)			(1.8)
CAZ-AVI	Standard			2	8	19	9	4	4	1				9	2	≥512	42
				(3.6)	(17.9)	(51.8)	(67.9)	(75.0)	(82.1)	(83.9)				(100)			(75.0)
	High				2	7	14	8	5	1	1	5	4	9	8	≥512	31
					(3.6)	(16.1)	(41.1)	(55.4)	(64.3)	(66.1)	(67.9)	(77.8)	(84.9)	(100)			(55.4)
ATM	Standard				3							1	6	46	≥512	≥512	3
					(5.4)							(7.2)	(17.9)	(100)			(5.4)
	High				1				1			1	1	52	≥512	≥512	1
					(1.8)				(3.6)			(5.4)	(7.2)	(100)			(1.8)
ATM-AVI	Standard	1 (1.8)	20 (37.5)	15 (64.3)	13 (87.5)	2 (91.1)	3 (96.5)		2 (100)						0.5	2	NA
	High	(1.0)	9	5	1	6	1	2	3	17	2	1	7	2	32	256	NA
			(16.1)	(25.0)	(26.8)	(37.5)	(39.3)	(42.9)	(48.2)	(78.6)	(82.1)	(83.9)	(96.4)	(100)		200	
MEM	Standard		2	3	()	2	3	4	10	9	10	3	10ª	()	32	≥256	5
			(3.6)	(9.0)		(12.6)	(17.9)	(25.0)	(42.9)	(58.9)	(76.8)	(82.1)	(100)			_	(9.0)
	High			1		3	1	4	8	6	6	10	17ª		64	≥256	1
	U			(1.8)		(7.2)	(9.0)	(16.1)	(30.4)	(41.1)	(51.8)	(69.6)	(100)				(1.8)
CST	Standard		8	38			1	2	3		. ,	3	1ª		0.5	16	46
			(14.3)	(82.1)			(83.9)	(87.5)	(92.9)			(98.2)	(100)				(82.1)
TGC	Standard			2	15	13	16	7	1		1		1		2	8	2
				(3.6)	(30.4)	(53.6)	(82.1)	(94.6)	(96.4)		(98.2)		(100)				(3.6)

Table 8. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates to seven antimicrobial agents (n=56)

^a MIC is greater than or equal to the indicated value

	Number of isolates	(%) of positive inoculum effect ^a
Isolate	Ceftazidime-avibactam	Aztreonam-avibactam
Total	12/67 (17.9)	38/81 (46.9)
E. coli	2/20 (10)	2/25 (8)
K. pneumoniae	10/47 (21.3)	36/56 (64.3)

Table 9. Positive rates of inoculum effect for carbapenem-resistant isolates

^a Inoculum effect was defined as an eightfold or greater increase in MIC on testing with the higher inoculum

3. Susceptibility and inoculum effect according to resistance type in 81 CRE isolates

The MIC distributions in CRE against ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam according to resistance mechanism are shown in Table 10. Ceftazidime-avibactam was more active against non-CP CRE than against CPE (MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀, 2/16 µg/mL vs. 4/ \geq 512 µg/mL). Although aztreonam-avibactam had good *in vitro* activity against CRE isolates, it is more active against CPE than against non-CP CRE (MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀, 0.25/2 µg/mL vs. 0.5/16 µg/mL). However, the positive rate of the inoculum effect for aztreonam-avibactam was high in both non-CP CRE and CPE (Table 11).

Mechanism (n)	Antimicrobial agent	Inoculum size	Cumulative % with indicated MICs (µg/mL)											MIC (µg/mL)				
			0.06	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	≥512	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
Non-CP	CAZ-AVI	Standard				4.3	23.9	56.5	69.6	80.4	95.7	97.8				100	2	16
CRE (46)		High					10.9	23.9	45.7	58.7	71.7	76.1	78.3	89.1	95.7	100	8	256
	ATM-AVI	Standard	2.2	4.3	26.1	52.2	69.6	73.9	87.0	91.3	95.7	97.8		100			0.5	8
		High		2.2	13.0	23.9		37.0	43.5	45.7	50.0	78.3		80.4	93.5	100	16	256
ESBL (30)	CAZ-AVI	Standard				6.7	16.7	50.0	63.3	80.0	93.3	96.7				100	2	16
		High					10.0	23.3	33.3	53.3	66.7	73.3	76.7	90.0	93.3	100	8	128
	ATM-AVI	Standard	3.3	6.7	30.0	60.0	73.3		86.7	93.3	100						0.5	8
		High		3.3	16.7	30.0		33.3	40.0	43.3	50.0	73.3		76.7	93.3	100	16	256
AmpC (2)	CAZ-AVI	Standard					100										-	-
		High					50.0								100		-	-
	ATM-AVI	Standard				50.0	100										-	-
		High						50.0				100					-	-
ESBL	CAZ-AVI	Standard					28.6	85.7	100								2	4
+AmpC (7)		High						14.3	85.7					100			4	128
	ATM-AVI	Standard			14.3	42.9	71.4	100									1	2
		High						42.9				100					32	32
CPE (35)	CAZ-AVI	Standard					11.4	40.0	60.0	62.9						100	4	≥512
		High					2.9	17.1	37.1	57.1	60.0				62.9	100	8	≥512
	ATM-AVI	Standard	5.7		54.3	77.1	97.1	100									0.25	1
		High		2.9	28.6	42.9	48.6	60.0	62.9	68.6	71.4	88.6	94.3		100		2	64
KPC (17)	CAZ-AVI	Standard					11.8	58.8	82.4							100	2	≥512
		High						11.8	41.2	76.5					82.4	100	8	≥512
	ATM-AVI	Standard	5.9		58.8	82.4	100										0.25	1
		High			35.3	47.1	58.8	64.7		70.6		94.1			100		1	32
NDM-1 (11)	CAZ-AVI	Standard						9.1	18.2	27.3						100	≥512	≥512
		High						9.1	18.2		27.3					100	≥512	≥512
	ATM-AVI	Standard	9.1		54.5	63.6	90.9	100									0.25	1
		High		9.1	36.4	54.5		81.8					100				0.5	64

Table 10. Antimicrobial susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant E. coli and K. pneumoniae isolates according to resistance mechanism and inoculum

Number of isolates (%) of positive inoculum effect											
Antimicrobial agent	Non-CP CRE	ESBL	AmpC	ESBL +AmpC	СРЕ	KPC	NDM-1				
CAZ-AVI	10/45 (22.2)	7/29 (24.1)	1/2 (50.0)	1/7 (14.2)	2/22 (9.1)	2/14 (14.3)	0/3				
ATM-AVI	23/46 (50.0)	17/30 (56.7)	1/2 (50.0)	4/7 (57.1)	15/35 (42.9)	6/17 (35.3)	3/11 (27.3)				

Table 11. Positive rates of inoculum effect for carbapenem-resistant isolates according to the resistance mechanism

Discussion

This study tested *in vitro* activity of new antibiotics combining new β -lactamase inhibitor, avibactam with ceftazidime or aztreonam to overcome β -lactamase expressing extendedspectrum β -lactam resistant isolates and carbapenem-resistant isolates including CPE. Ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam were more potent than ceftazidime or aztreonam similar to previous studies²⁰⁾. Most extended-spectrum β -lactam-resistant isolates and carbapenem-resistant isolates were susceptible to ceftazidime-avibactam (99%, 73% respectively). Meropenem was susceptible against 96% of extended-spectrum β -lactam resistant isolates. These results suggest that ceftazidime-avibactam can effectively inhibit extended-spectrum β -lactam-resistant isolates and that the antimicrobial activity of ceftazidime-avibactam is as effective as meropenem. However, ceftazidime-avibactam did not inhibit some carbapenem-resistant isolates, most of which were CPE isolates, including NDM-1 expressing isolates. Recent studies showed that avibactam protects β -lactams from hydrolysis by β -lactamases such as class A (e.g., KPCs), class C (e.g., CMY) and some class D (e.g., OXA-48) enzymes. In the present study, ceftazidime-avibactam did not inhibit class B metallo- β -lactamase such as NDM-1 (MIC: \geq 512 µg/mL), similar to previous studies^{8, 21)}.

The combination of aztreonam and avibactam presented a novel approach to the treatment of infections caused by pathogens containing various β -lactamases, including isolates carrying Metallo- β -lactamase. In this study, I used the provisional breakpoint of aztreonam-avibactam as 8 µg/mL because the breakpoint of aztreonam-avibactam has not been suggested by CLSI or EUCAST. The reason for setting the provisional breakpoint of aztreonam-avibactam to 8 µg/mL was that the ceftazidime-avibactam is administered at 2 g q8hr of ceftazidime component, resulting in higher breakpoint (8 µg/mL)than ceftazidime alone; breakpoint determined at 1 g q8hr. The breakpoint of aztreonam-avibactam could be set to 8 µg/mL, like ceftazidime-avibactam if aztreonam component is determined to be administered at 2 g q8hr. If the breakpoint of aztreonam-avibactam was considered to be 8 mg/mL, 99% of all extendedspectrum β -lactam resistant isolates were susceptible and 95% of carbapenem-resistant isolates were susceptible to aztreonam-avibactam. The MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀ values of aztreonamavibactam in carbapenem-resistant the provisional was $0.5/4 \,\mu$ g/mL, which was similar to that of colistin (0.5/8 μ g/mL). This result suggested that aztreonam-avibactam has higher antimicrobial activity than ceftazidime-avibactam against CRE and that it was comparable to colistin.

Previous studies have not studied much about the inoculum effect of avibactam combining antibiotics. In the present study, I observed changes in MICs of ceftazidime-avibactam and aztreonam-avibactam according to the inoculum size. The same definition of inoculum effect as previous studies was used in the study¹⁹⁾. In the present study, *K. pneumoniae* had higher rates of inoculum effect than *E. coli*. The inoculum effect in *E. coli* was not significantly different between extended-spectrum β - lactam-resistant isolates and CRE isolates (8% vs. 10% in ceftazidime-avibactam; 10% vs. 8% in aztreonam-avibactam). However, in *K. pneumoniae*, aztreonam-avibactam had a higher rate of inoculum effect in CRE isolates (64%) than in extended-spectrum β - lactam-resistant isolates (52%). This data suggests that aztreonam-avibactam is more affected by inoculum size than ceftazidime-avibactam in *K. pneumoniae* (especially in CRE).

My study has several limitations. First, since clinical isolates were collected in a single tertiary center, they may not fully reflect *in vitro* susceptibilities to study antibiotics of MDR isolates in Korea. Second, other *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *Enterobacter* spp. and *Serratia* spp. can exhibit different susceptibility profiles and inoculum effect from *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli*. Hence, multicenter studies including various *Enterobacteriaceae* species are needed to generalize our findings.

In conclusion, ceftazidime-avibactam was a reasonable choice to overcome extendedspectrum β -lactam-resistant isolates; however, it had weak activity against CRE, especially against CPE. Aztreonam-avibactam was more active *in vitro* against extended-spectrum β lactam-resistant and CRE isolates than ceftazidime-avibactam. However, due to its substantial inoculum effect in CRE, a possibility of aztreonam-avibactam treatment failure should be considered in the high inoculum infection.

Reference

1. Potter RF, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. The rapid spread of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Drug Resist Updat. 2016;29:30-46.

2. Kelly AM, Mathema B, Larson EL. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the community: a scoping review. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2017;50(2):127-34.

3. Park Y, Choi Q, Kwon GC, Koo SH. Emergence and transmission of New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase-5-producing Escherichia coli Sequence Type 361 in a Tertiary Hospital in South Korea. J Clin Lab Anal. 2019:e23041.

4. Sorli L, Luque S, Li J, Campillo N, Danes M, Montero M, et al. Colistin for the treatment of urinary tract infections caused by extremely drug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Dose is critical. J Infect. 2019;79(3):253-61.

5. Bader MS, Loeb M, Leto D, Brooks AA. Treatment of urinary tract infections in the era of antimicrobial resistance and new antimicrobial agents. Postgrad Med. 2019.

6. Sid Ahmed MA, Abdel Hadi H, Hassan AAI, Abu Jarir S, Al-Maslamani MA, Eltai NO, et al. Evaluation of in vitro activity of ceftazidime/avibactam and ceftolozane/tazobactam against MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from Qatar. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019.

Zou H, Xiong SJ, Lin QX, Wu ML, Niu SQ, Huang SF. CP-CRE/non-CP-CRE
Stratification And CRE Resistance Mechanism Determination Help In Better Managing CRE
Bacteremia Using Ceftazidime-Avibactam And Aztreonam-Avibactam. Infect Drug Resist.
2019;12:3017-27.

8. Alatoom A, Elsayed H, Lawlor K, AbdelWareth L, El-Lababidi R, Cardona L, et al. Comparison of antimicrobial activity between ceftolozane-tazobactam and ceftazidimeavibactam against multidrug-resistant isolates of Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Int J Infect Dis. 2017;62:39-43.

 Keepers TR, Gomez M, Biek D, Critchley I, Krause KM. Effect of In Vitro Testing Parameters on Ceftazidime-Avibactam Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations. Int Sch Res Notices. 2015;2015:489547.

21

CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing 29th ed.
Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2019.

Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a clinical update.
Clinical microbiology reviews. 2005;18(4):657-86.

Tzelepi E, Giakkoupi P, Sofianou D, Loukova V, Kemeroglou A, Tsakris A.
Detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in clinical isolates of Enterobacter cloacae and Enterobacter aerogenes. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2000;38(2):542-6.

 Tan TY, Ng LS, He J, Koh TH, Hsu LY. Evaluation of screening methods to detect plasmid-mediated AmpC in Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Proteus mirabilis. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2009;53(1):146-9.

 Pierce VM, Simner PJ, Lonsway DR, Roe-Carpenter DE, Johnson JK, Brasso WB, et al. Modified Carbapenem Inactivation Method for Phenotypic Detection of Carbapenemase Production among Enterobacteriaceae. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2017;55(8):2321-33.

15. Du XX, Wang JF, Fu Y, Zhao F, Chen Y, Wang HP, et al. Genetic characteristics of blaNDM-1-positive plasmid in Citrobacter freundii isolate separated from a clinical infectious patient. J Med Microbiol. 2013;62(Pt 9):1332-7.

 Schechner V, Straus-Robinson K, Schwartz D, Pfeffer I, Tarabeia J, Moskovich R, et al. Evaluation of PCR-Based Testing for Surveillance of KPC-Producing Carbapenem-Resistant Members of the Enterobacteriaceae Family. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2009;47(10):3261-5.

17. Dallenne C, Da Costa A, Decre D, Favier C, Arlet G. Development of a set of multiplex PCR assays for the detection of genes encoding important beta-lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65(3):490-5.

18. Chong YP, Park SJ, Kim ES, Bang KM, Kim MN, Kim SH, et al. Prevalence of blaZ gene types and the cefazolin inoculum effect among methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus blood isolates and their association with multilocus sequence types and clinical outcome. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015;34(2):349-55.

19. Kang CI, Cha MK, Kim SH, Wi YM, Chung DR, Peck KR, et al. Extendedspectrum cephalosporins and the inoculum effect in tests with CTX-M-type extended-

22

spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli: potential clinical implications of the revised CLSI interpretive criteria. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2014;43(5):456-9.

 Sader HS, Castanheira M, Flamm RK. Antimicrobial Activity of Ceftazidime-Avibactam against Gram-Negative Bacteria Isolated from Patients Hospitalized with Pneumonia in U.S. Medical Centers, 2011 to 2015. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2017;61(4).

21. Niu S, Wei J, Zou C, Chavda KD, Lv J, Zhang H, et al. In vitro selection of aztreonam/avibactam resistance in dual-carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019.

22. Karlowsky JA, Kazmierczak KM, de Jonge BLM, Hackel MA, Sahm DF, Bradford PA. In Vitro Activity of Aztreonam-Avibactam against Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated by Clinical Laboratories in 40 Countries from 2012 to 2015. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2017;61(9).

국문요약

연구배경: 장내세균에서 광범위한 세팔로스포린계열 항생제나 카바페넴 계열 항생제에 대한 내성이 증가하고 있고, 이로 인해 적절한 항생제 선택이 어려워지고 있다. 이러한 문제들을 극복하기 위해 새로운 β-lactamase inhibitor 인 avibactam 을 다른 β-lactam 과 병합하여 사용함으로써 다양한 β-lactamase 로 인한 내성 문제를 해결할 수 있었다. 본 연구는 ceftazidime-avibactam 과 aztreonamavibactam 의 *in vitro* 에서의 활성과 카바페넴계열 항생제 내성 장내세균(CRE)를 포함한 다제내성 장내세균에서의 inoculum effect 를 평가하는 것을 목표로 했다. 또한 ceftazidime-avibactam 과 aztreonam-avibactam 의 *in vitro* 에서의 활성도를 다제내성 장내세균이 보유중인 β-lactam 계열 항생제에 대한 내성 기전별로 구분해서 평가하였다.

실험 방법: 2011 년 1 월부터 2018 년 5 월까지 서울아산병원에 등록된 228 명의 중복되지 않은 균혈증 환자로부터 3 세대 세팔로스포린 내성인 120 개의 *Escherichia coli* 와 108 개의 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 균주를 수집했고, 카바페넴 내성균에 대한 자료 확보를 위해 추가로 2011 년 1 월부터 2018 년 10 월까지 81 명의 환자로부터 혈액과 여려 임상 검체로부터 카바페넴 내성인 25 개의 *E. coli* 와 56 개의 *K. pneumoniae* 를 수집했다. 각 균주들로 ceftazidime, ceftazidimeavibactam, aztreonam, aztreonam-avibactam, meropenem, colistin, tigecycline 에 대해 broth microdilution (BMD) 검사를 시행하였고 inoculum effect 를 확인하기 위해 균 접종량을 높인 BMD 검사도 시행하였다. 카바페넴 내성 균주들의 β-lactam 계열 항생제 내성 기전은 PCR 을 사용하여 검증하였다.

실험결과: 228개의 균혈증 균주들은 모두 cefotaxime에 내성이었다. 26개(11%)의 균주가 카바페넴에 비감수성이었고 *K. pneumoniae*에서 3개의 균주가 carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE)였다. 99%의 균혈증 균주는 ceftazidime-avibactam에 대해 감수성이었고, aztreonam-avibactam의 감수성 기준을 8 µg/mL로 설정할 경우 전체 균주의 99%가 감수성이었다. Ceftazidime-avibactam과 aztreonam-avibactam은 공통적으로 *K. pneumoniae*보다 *E. coli*에서 더 높은 활성도를 나타냈다. Ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, meropenem의 inoculum effect

24

양성 비율은 각각 22%, 30%, 38%였고, K. pneumoniae가 E. coli보다 ceftazidimeavibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, meropenem 모두에서 더 높은 접종원 효과 양성률을 보였다. Ceftazidime-avibactam과 aztreonam-avibactam은 81개의 CRE 균주에서도 좋은 효과를 보였다. CRE 균주 81개중 73%가 ceftazidime-avibactam에 대해 감수성을 나타냈고, aztreonam-avibactam의 감수성 기존을 8 µg/mL로 설정할 경우 95%가 감수성을 나타냈다. CRE 균주에 대한 tigecycline과 colistin의 내성률은 각각 75%와 13%였다. Carbapenemase를 생성하지 않는 CRE (non-CP CRE)와 carbapenemase를 생성하는 균(CPE)을 서로 비교할 경우, ceftazidimeavibactam은 CPE보다 non-CP CRE에서 더 높은 활성도를 보였고(MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀, 2/16µg/mL vs. 4/≥512 µg/mL), aztreonam-avibactam은 CPE에서 더 높은 활성도를 보였다(MIC₅₀/MIC₉₀, 0.5/8µg/mL vs. 0.25/1 µg/mL). CRE에서 ceftazidime-avibactam과 aztreonam-avibactam의 inoculum effect 양성률은 각각 18%와 47%였다. 결론: Ceftazidime-avibactam 은 3세대 세팔로스포린 내성 균주 치료에 효과적인 항생제 선택이 될 수 있다. 하지만 CRE 균주에 대해서는 낮은 활성도를 보였고 특히 CPE 의 경우에는 더 낮은 경향을 보였다. Aztreonam-avibactam 의 경우 ceftazidime-avibactam 보다 CRE 포함하는 모든 균주에서 더 좋은 항균효과를 나타냈다. 하지만 aztreonam-avibactam 은 CRE 에서 상당한 inoculum effect 를 보이기 때문에 높은 균 부담이 있는 감염에서는 치료 실패의 가능성이 있어 신중한 선택이 필요하다.

(주요단어: 다제내성 장내세균, ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam-avibactam, 카바페넴 내성 장내세균)